

# Functional Analysis Assignment 2

Liam Carroll - 830916

Due Date: 6th May 2020

## Q1. Bi-infinite sequences and Sobolev space

Define  $x = \{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  to be a bi-infinite sequence with  $x_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. We define a norm on  $x$  as

$$\|x\|_{h_s} := \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.1)$$

and the  $L^2$ -based Sobolev space of order  $s$  as

$$h_s = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} : \|x\|_{h_s} < \infty\}. \quad (1.2)$$

### Part a)

We first show that  $h_s$  is a normed linear space.

(i)  $\|x\|_{h_s} = 0 \iff x = 0$

Suppose for  $x \in h_s$  we have  $\|x\|_{h_s} = 0$ . Then, taking squares we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 = 0,$$

but clearly all terms  $(1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 \geq 0$ , and  $(1+n^2)^s \neq 0$  for any value of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , so we must have  $|x_n|^2 = 0$  for all  $n$ , so  $x = 0$ . The other direction is obvious.

(ii)  $\|\alpha x\|_{h_s} = |\alpha| \|x\|_{h_s}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

We calculate for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in h_s$

$$\|\alpha x\|_{h_s} = \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |\alpha x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} = |\alpha| \|x\|_{h_s}. \quad (1.3)$$

(iii)  $\|x + y\|_{h_s} \leq \|x\|_{h_s} + \|y\|_{h_s}$  for all  $x, y \in h_s$

This bi-infinte business is clearly frustrating to work with - we like sequences in  $\mathbb{N}$ , not  $\mathbb{Z}$ . So lets work with  $\mathbb{N}$  instead.

We can formulate the natural bijection between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  by sending the positive integers to the even naturals, and the negative integers to the odd naturals. That is, consider  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $f^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 2n & n \geq 0 \\ -2n - 1 & n < 0 \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}(k) = (-1)^k \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (1.4)$$

It is clear  $f$  does indeed define a bijection. Then in setting  $k = f(n)$  and  $n = f^{-1}(k)$  we can rewrite our sum of interest for  $x, y \in h_s$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_{h_s}^2 &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + n^2)^s |x_n + y_n|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \left( (-1)^k \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil \right)^2 \right)^s |x_{f^{-1}(k)} + y_{f^{-1}(k)}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil^2 \right)^s |x_{f^{-1}(k)} + y_{f^{-1}(k)}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where we are permitted to rearrange these terms since  $x, y \in h_s$  gives us that  $x + y \in h_s$  with elementary real analysis arguments, meaning  $x + y$  is absolutely convergent. We can then assume the Minkowski inequality,

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k + y_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |y_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1.6)$$

to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_{h_s} &= \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + n^2)^s |x_n + y_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil^2 \right)^s |x_{f^{-1}(k)} + y_{f^{-1}(k)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \left( 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil^2 \right)^{s/2} x_{f^{-1}(k)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \left( 1 + \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil^2 \right)^{s/2} y_{f^{-1}(k)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + n^2)^s |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + n^2)^s |y_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|x\|_{h_s} + \|y\|_{h_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

which proves the triangle inequality as desired.

Thus we conclude  $h_s$  is a normed linear space.  $\square$

## Part b)

The natural inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : h_s \times h_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to define that induces the  $\|\cdot\|_{h_s}$  norm is, for  $x, y \in h_s$ ,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} y_n. \quad (1.8)$$

This clearly induces the norm since

$$\langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} x_n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 = \|x\|_{h_s}^2. \quad (1.9)$$

We can then prove this is a well defined inner product:

$$(i) \quad \langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle \text{ for all } x, y, z \in h_s$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x + y, z \rangle &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s (\overline{x_n + y_n}) z_n \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s (\overline{x_n} + \overline{y_n}) z_n \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} z_n + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{y_n} z_n \\ &= \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in h_s$$

This is clear due to our identification of the norm in (1.9), hence we just use these properties derived in part a.

$$(iii) \quad \langle x, \alpha y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in h_s \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$$

We calculate

$$\langle x, \alpha y \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} \alpha y_n = \alpha \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} y_n = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle. \quad (1.11)$$

$$(iv) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} \text{ for all } x, y \in h_s$$

Noting that  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\overline{\langle y, x \rangle} = \overline{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{y_n} x_n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{(1+n^2)^s \overline{y_n} x_n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{\overline{y_n} x_n} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s \overline{x_n} y_n = \langle x, y \rangle. \quad (1.12)$$

Therefore our defined inner product is well defined, so  $(h_s, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an inner product space.  $\square$

## Part c)

We now want to show that  $h_s$  is complete in the  $\|\cdot\|_{h_s}$  norm. We can do this by identifying it with  $\ell^2$  space. We will appeal to our rewritten summation formula in (1.5) to write, for  $x \in h_s$  and  $f$  as defined in (1.4),

$$\|x\|_{h_s} = \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+n^2)^s |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \left(1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil^2\right)^{s/2} x_{f^{-1}(k)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.13)$$

Since  $x \in h_s$  we know that  $\|x\|_{h_s}$  is finite. This leads us to defining a map  $g : h_s \rightarrow \ell^2$  and  $g^{-1} : \ell^2 \rightarrow h_s$  with

$$h_s \ni x \mapsto g(x) = \left\{ \left(1 + \lceil k/2 \rceil^2\right)^{s/2} x_{f^{-1}(k)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\text{and } \ell^2 \ni \tilde{x} \mapsto g^{-1}(\tilde{x}) = \left\{ (1+n^2)^{-s/2} \tilde{x}_{f(n)} \right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}. \quad (1.15)$$

Just as a sanity check to make sure we don't get too bogged down in the notation here, we note that

$$(g^{-1} \circ g)(x) = \left\{ (1+n^2)^{-s/2} (1 + f^{-1}(f(n))^2)^{s/2} x_{f^{-1}(f(n))} \right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} = \{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad (1.16)$$

and similarly for  $(g \circ g^{-1})(\tilde{x})$ . This map is well defined since, with the standard norm on  $\ell^2$ , we have

$$\|x\|_{h_s} = \|g(x)\|_2, \quad (1.17)$$

and since  $\|x\|_{h_s}$  is finite, then  $\|g(x)\|_2$  is clearly finite as well - i.e. for any  $x \in h_s$  we have  $g(x) \in \ell^2$ . Noting the bijection arguments from the triangle inequality proof in part a), we can conclude that  $g$  is a bijection between  $h_s$  and  $\ell^2$ . We also note that since  $g$  is essentially just a rearrangement of terms, using the standard definitions on sequence spaces, it is clear that  $g$  is linear.

In the first assignment, we proved that  $\ell^p$  space is complete. Clearly then, if we take a Cauchy sequence of elements  $X^{(j)} = \{x^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  where  $x^{(j)} = \{x_n^{(j)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in h_s$ , then we know that there exists an element  $Y \in \ell^2$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|g(X^{(j)}) - Y\|_2 = 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Using the linearity of  $g$  and the fact that  $g^{-1}(Y) \in h_s$  is well defined, we have

$$0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|g(X^{(j)}) - Y\|_2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|g(X^{(j)} - g^{-1}(Y))\|_2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|X^{(j)} - g^{-1}(Y)\|_{h_s}, \quad (1.19)$$

which means we have found our candidate limit!

Therefore, we conclude that for a Cauchy sequence  $X^{(n)}$  of sequences in  $h_s$ , there exists a  $Y \in \ell^2$ , so a  $g^{-1}(Y) \in h_s$  such that  $X^{(n)}$  converges to  $g^{-1}(Y)$  under the  $\|\cdot\|_{h_s}$  norm. In other words,  $(h_s, \|\cdot\|_{h_s})$  is a Banach space.  $\square$

## Q2. Separability of $\ell^p$ space (and friends)

We first prove that  $\ell^p$  is separable - that is, there exists a subset  $A \subset \ell^p$  such that  $A$  is both countable and dense in  $\ell^p$ .

We first claim that the set of finite sequences  $S$  is dense in  $\ell^p$  where we define

$$S := \left\{ x^{(n)} = \{x_i^{(n)}\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0, x_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \text{if } i \leq n, x_i^{(n)} = x_i, \\ \text{if } i > n, x_i^{(n)} = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

To prove  $S \subset \ell^p$  is dense, we need to show that any  $x \in \ell^p$  can be expressed as a limit of a sequence in  $S$ . Let  $x \in \ell^p$  with  $x = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be given and consider the sequence of elements  $X = \{x^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  where  $x^{(n)} \in S$ . Given that  $x$  is in  $\ell^p$  we have that  $\|x\|_p$  is finite which implies that  $x$  must converge to 0. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x^{(n)}\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |x_i - x_i^{(n)}|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where the final equality is due to the fact that  $x$  converges to 0. Hence this shows that  $S \subset \ell^p$  is dense. It remains to find a countably dense subset. Clearly, since we are working with  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , we should investigate  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  which is both countable and dense in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . That is, for any  $x_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}$  we can find a sequence

$$z^{(n,i)} = \{z_j^{(n,i)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} = \{a_j^{(n,i)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} + \sqrt{-1}\{b_j^{(n,i)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}, \quad \text{where } a_j^{(n,i)}, b_j^{(n,i)} \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad (2.3)$$

such that  $x_i^{(n)}$  can be approximated by this sequence. That is, by the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i^{(n)} - z_j^{(n,i)}\|_{\mathbb{C}} \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( |\operatorname{Re}(x_i^{(n)}) - a_j^{(n,i)}| + |\operatorname{Im}(x_i^{(n)}) - b_j^{(n,i)}| \right) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This shows that every element  $x_i^{(n)}$  of a sequence  $x^{(n)} \in S$  can be well approximated by sequences  $z^{(n,i)}$ , that is, (2.4) tells us

$$\|x^{(n)} - z^{(n,i)}\|_p^p \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n)} - z_j^{(n,i)}|^p \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Putting all of this together, we see that if we define

$$A := \left\{ z^{(n)} = \{z_i^{(n,i)}\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \begin{array}{l} z^{(n)} \text{ is finite as in } S \text{ and,} \\ z^{(n,i)} \text{ can be approximated by the rational} \\ \text{sequence } \{z_j^{(n,i)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{ for } z_j^{(n,i)} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

then we see that  $A$  is countable (sequences of  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  which is countable), and most importantly  $A \in \ell^p$  is dense since, using (2.2) and (2.4) we have for any  $x \in \ell^p$ , there exists a sequence  $z^{(n)} = \{z_i^{(n,i)}\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \in A$  with

$$\|x - z^{(n,i)}\|_p \leq \|x - z^{(n)}\|_p + \|z^{(n)} - z^{(n,i)}\|_p \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

□

We then consider  $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , the space of sequences that converge to 0. But this can be done using an identical argument as above and merely replacing the  $p$ -norm with the sup-norm. Then finite sequences are still dense in  $c_0$  since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x^{(n)}\|_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x - x^{(n)}| = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

and we can still approximate each complex element by rationals that will also converge in the sup-norm. Thus the argument is the same so  $c_0$  is also separable.  $\square$

To show that  $(\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not separable, we wish to show that every dense subset of  $\ell^\infty$  is uncountable. We start by constructing a set of open balls in  $\ell^\infty$ . Let  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$  be an index set. We can construct a sequence  $e_I \in \ell^\infty$  as

$$e_I = \{e_I^i\}_{i=0}^\infty \quad \text{where} \quad e_I^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin I \end{cases}. \quad (2.9)$$

Consider then any other index set  $J \neq I \subset \mathbb{N}$  and its corresponding sequence  $e_J$ . Then we have

$$\|e_I - e_J\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |e_I^i - e_J^i| = 1. \quad (2.10)$$

We can then construct an open set  $U$  of open *disjoint* balls surrounding the point  $e_I$ ,

$$U := \{B(e_I, \varepsilon = 1/2) \mid I \subset \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where disjointness follows from (2.10) (i.e. the closest sequence  $e_J$  to  $e_I$  is at least 1 away). We then see that  $\text{Card}(U) = \text{Card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$  and by Cantor's theorem about the cardinality of power sets, this shows that  $U$  is uncountable.

Let  $C \subset \ell^\infty$  be a dense subset. By the definition of density, any neighbourhood of a point  $x \in \ell^\infty$  must contain a point  $y \in C$ . That is, every open ball  $B \in U$  must contain a point  $y \in C$ , but since these balls are disjoint, these points  $y$  must be distinct. Therefore for any dense subset  $C$  we must have

$$\text{Card}(\{y \in C : y \in B \in U\}) = \text{Card}(U), \quad (2.12)$$

but  $U$  is uncountable from above, which proves that every dense subset of  $\ell^\infty$  is uncountable, which shows  $\ell^\infty$  is *not* separable.  $\square$

### Q3. Isomorphism of quotient space to orthogonal complement

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $M \subset X$  be a closed subspace. We want to prove that for the natural map,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : X &\rightarrow X/M = \{x + M : x \in X\} \\ x &\mapsto [x] = x + M, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

the restriction  $\pi|_{M^\perp} : M^\perp \rightarrow X/M$  is an isomorphism of  $M^\perp$  and  $X/M$  - that is, it is a bijective isometry. We define

$$M^\perp = \{x \in X : \text{for all } m \in M, \langle m, x \rangle = 0\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\text{and for } [x] \in X/M, \quad \|[x]\|_{X/M} = \inf_{m \in M} \|x + m\|_X, \quad (3.3)$$

where we note from lectures that the norm on  $X/M$  is well defined. We also know that for a closed subspace  $M \subset X$  we can write  $X = M \oplus M^\perp$ . From now on for notational convenience, assume that  $\pi$  refers to the restricted map  $\pi|_{M^\perp}$ .

(i)  $\pi$  is surjective

Let  $[y] \in X/M$  be given. We want to show that there exists  $x \in M^\perp$  such that  $\pi(x) = [y]$ . We first note that for the trivial case  $[y] = [0]$  we clearly have  $0 \in M^\perp$  and  $\pi(0) = [0]$  is satisfied, so assume that  $[y] \neq [0]$ . Take an element  $y + m \in [y]$  for  $m \in M$  and  $y \in X \setminus M$ . We know that for any  $h \in X$  we can write  $h = h_m + h_{M^\perp}$ , which tells us that  $y \in M^\perp$ . Hence, for any  $[y] \in X/M$  we are guaranteed to have  $y \in M^\perp$  such that  $\pi(y) = [y]$ , so  $\pi$  is surjective.

(ii)  $\pi$  is injective

Let  $x, y \in M^\perp$  be such that  $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$ . Then  $[x] = [y]$ , so  $[x - y] = [0]$ , so  $x - y \in M$ . But since  $x, y \in M^\perp$ , this gives us for all  $m \in M$  that

$$\langle m, x \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m, y \rangle = 0, \quad \text{so} \quad \langle m, x \rangle - \langle m, y \rangle = \langle m, x - y \rangle = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

so we also have  $x - y \in M^\perp$ . But since  $M \cap M^\perp = \{0\}$ , this implies  $x - y = 0$  so clearly  $x = y$  and so  $\pi$  is injective.

(iii)  $\pi$  is an isometry

We want to show that  $\pi$ , which is clearly linear, is an isometry which is equivalent to being norm-preserving due to that linearity. That is, for all  $x \in M^\perp \subset X$  we want to show  $\|x\|_X = \|\pi(x)\|_{X/M}$ . Then we calculate, using  $\langle x, m \rangle = 0$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $\inf_{m \in M} \|m\|_X^2 = 0$  since  $0 \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(x)\|_{X/M}^2 &= \inf_{m \in M} \|x + m\|_X^2 = \inf_{m \in M} \langle x + m, x + m \rangle \\ &= \inf_{m \in M} (\langle x, x \rangle + 2\text{Re}\langle x, m \rangle + \langle m, m \rangle) \\ &= \|x\|_X^2 + \inf_{m \in M} \|m\|_X^2 = \|x\|_X^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows the desired equality, hence  $\pi$  is an isometry.

Therefore  $\pi|_{M^\perp}$  induces the isomorphism  $M^\perp \cong X/M$ .  $\square$

## Q4. Duals and not so duals

We first want to show that  $\ell_1^* \cong \ell_\infty$ . Define

$$\ell_1^* = \left\{ L_x : \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|L_x\|_{\ell_1^*} := \sup_{y \in \ell_1 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}}}{\|y\|_1} < \infty \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where we define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \ell_\infty &\longrightarrow \ell_1^* \\ x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty &\longmapsto L_x : \ell_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{where} \\ L_x(y) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \overline{x_n} y_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Then we note that  $\Phi$  is conjugate-linear due to the linearity of  $L_x$ , that is, for  $x, z \in \ell_\infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\Phi(x + z) = L_{x+z} = L_x + L_z = \Phi(x) + \Phi(z), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{and } \Phi(\alpha x) = L_{\alpha x} = \bar{\alpha} L_x = \bar{\alpha} \Phi(x). \quad (4.4)$$

We will first show that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. Firstly, note that  $\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*}$  is bounded above since Hölder's inequality tells us for  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $y \in \ell_1$ ,

$$\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}} = \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \overline{x_n} y_n \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\overline{x_n} y_n| = \|xy\|_1 \leq \|x\|_\infty \|y\|_1, \quad (4.5)$$

which shows that

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} = \|L_x\|_{\ell_1^*} = \sup_{y \in \ell_1 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}}}{\|y\|_1} \leq \|x\|_\infty, \quad (4.6)$$

which tells us that  $\Phi$  is a bounded linear map since we at least have  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$ . We now want to show that  $\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*}$  is also bounded below by  $\|x\|_\infty$  - which reduces to attempting to show that for all  $y \in \ell_1$  we have

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} \geq \frac{\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}}}{\|y\|_1} \geq \|x\|_\infty.$$

Without loss of generality assume  $x \neq 0$ . We can then define the sequence  $y = (x_n/|x_n|)e_j$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $e_j$  is the standard basis vector with a 1 in the  $j$  position and 0 everywhere else (and also assume that  $x_j \neq 0$ ). Then we have

$$\|y\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^n \left| \frac{x_n}{|x_n|} e_j \right| = 1, \quad (4.7)$$

which then gives us

$$\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}} = \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \overline{x_n} \frac{x_n}{|x_n|} e_j \right| = |x_j|. \quad (4.8)$$



Using these two facts we have

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} \geq \frac{\|L_x(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}}}{\|y\|_1} = \frac{|x_j|}{1} = |x_j|, \quad (4.9)$$

but since this must be true for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} \geq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j| = \|x\|_{\infty}. \quad (4.10)$$

Combining this with (4.6) gives us the desired isometry, namely for all  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ ,

$$\|\Phi(x)\|_{\ell_1^*} = \|x\|_{\infty}. \quad (4.11)$$

We now aim to show surjectivity, that is, for any  $\lambda \in \ell_1^*$ , there exists a  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$  such that  $L_x = \lambda$ , that is,  $L_x(y) = \lambda(y)$  for all  $y \in \ell_1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \ell_1^*$  be fixed. Consider the sequence  $e_i \in \ell_1$  defined in the standard way. Then to get the desired equality, we have

$$L_x(e_i) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{x_n} e_i = \overline{x_i} \quad \text{so we need} \quad \overline{\lambda(e_i)} = x_i, \quad (4.12)$$

which leads us to define our sequence

$$x = \{\overline{\lambda(e_n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}. \quad (4.13)$$

We then check that  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|\lambda(e_i)| \leq \|\lambda\| \|e_i\|_1 = \|\lambda\| < \infty \quad (4.14)$$

which we know is finite since  $\lambda$  is in the dual space, i.e. is a bounded linear operator. This tells us that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|x_n| \leq \|\lambda\| \quad \text{so} \quad \|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| \leq \|\lambda\| < \infty, \quad (4.15)$$

so we have  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ . To conclude that  $L_x(y) = \lambda(y)$  for all  $y \in \ell_1$ , we note that both  $L_x$  and  $\lambda$  are both linear, and with any finite sequence  $y \in S$  defined in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_x(y) &= L_x(y_1 e_1 + \cdots + y_n e_n) \\ &= y_1 L_x(e_1) + \cdots + y_n L_x(e_n) \\ &= y_1 \lambda(e_1) + \cdots + y_n \lambda(e_n) \\ &= \lambda(y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

but since the set of finite sequences is dense in  $\ell_1$ , and  $L_x$  and  $\lambda$  agree on a dense subset from the above calculation, we conclude that  $L_x(y) = \lambda(y)$  for all  $y \in \ell_1$  and so  $\Phi$  is surjective.

Injectivity is clear since if  $L_x(z) = L_y(z)$  for all  $z \in \ell_1$  then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{x_n} z_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{y_n} z_i \quad \text{so} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\overline{x_n} - \overline{y_n}) z_i = 0 \quad \text{so} \quad x = y. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore,  $\Phi$  induces the isomorphism of Banach spaces  $\ell_{\infty} \cong \ell_1^*$ .  $\square$

To show that  $\ell_\infty^* \not\cong \ell_1$ , we will show that in this case  $\Phi : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_\infty^*$  is not surjective by appealing to the Hahn-Banach theorem. That is, there exist functionals  $\Lambda \in \ell_\infty^*$  that are not of the form  $L_x$ .

Consider the subspace  $c \subset \ell_\infty$  of convergent sequences. Then consider  $\lambda \in c^*$  defined as

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \quad \text{where} \quad |\lambda(x)| = \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| = \|x\|_\infty, \quad (4.18)$$

where we know that  $\|x\|_\infty$  exists since  $x$  is convergent. So we see that  $\lambda$  is a well defined bounded linear functional, hence is in  $c^*$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, this means we can find an extension  $\Lambda \in \ell_\infty^*$  extending  $\lambda$  to  $\ell_\infty$  and satisfying  $\|\Lambda\|_{\ell_\infty^*} = \|\lambda\|_{c^*}$ . Suppose  $\Lambda$  was of the form  $L_x$  for  $x \in \ell_1$ . Then for  $e_j \in c$  we would have

$$L_x(e_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_j = x_j, \quad (4.19)$$

but since  $\Lambda$  must agree with  $\lambda$  on  $c$ , we have

$$\Lambda(e_j) = \lambda(e_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

which implies that we must have

$$\Lambda(e_j) = 0 = x_j = L_x(e_j) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.21)$$

meaning that  $\Lambda$  must be the 0 function since this is true for all  $x$  and  $j$ . But clearly if we choose the constant sequence  $y = \{k\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c$  for some non-zero  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\Lambda(y) = \lambda(y) = k, \quad (4.22)$$

so  $\Lambda$  cannot be the 0 function. Hence we arrive at a contradiction and so we conclude that  $\Lambda$  cannot be of the form  $L_x$  - that is, there are functionals  $\Lambda \in \ell_\infty^*$  such that there is no  $x \in \ell_1$  that gives us  $\Phi(x) = L_x = \Lambda$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is not surjective and so  $\ell_1$  is *not* isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty$ .  $\square$

Indeed, we proved in lectures that  $\ell_\infty^* \cong c_0$ . It is also worth noting that there is a useful theorem proved in Reed and Simon that says if  $X^*$  of a Banach space  $X$  is separable, then  $X$  is also separable. In question 2 we showed that  $\ell_1$  is separable but  $\ell_\infty$  was not, which means that  $\ell_1$  could not be the dual of  $\ell_\infty$ .